DSP Radios Not Perfect

Rob Sherwood NCØB

Dayton Drake Forum 2007



Should you throw away your vintage radio ?

• Yahoo Icom reflector moderator says "yes."

• See what you think in a few minutes.

Since you are here, you likely own a classic Drake radio from the 70s and 80s.

In the past years I have given talks on:

Dynamic Range

Receiver Testing

Performance in Contest Environments

- Today I am going to discuss a more subtle problem.
- Your Drake radios don't have the problem.
- Radios designed 10 years ago don't have the problem.
- Many hams are not catching on, and I don't know why.
- The ARRL testing lab is missing it completely.

What is the problem?

All new DSP designs in the past 3 years have AGC problems.

At least one new design "folds up" in QRN.

Lab testing is important BUT You have to LISTEN to radios, too.

Why are serious problems getting past R&D?

Fall of 2005

Listening to weak signals on 20 m. with a new DSP radio Hearing annoying clicks, ticks, and pops Switched to R-4C – No clicks, ticks or pops at all 20-year-old Icom – No clicks, ticks, or pops

S-Line – No clicks, ticks, or pops

The Problem

 All new IF DSP radios from all manufacturers have the same
AGC problem on Fast Rise-Time Transients.

Wave files

Normal Speech

Speech with AGC Interruptions





Edited description of AGC in new K3 from Elecraft web site

"Will the settings allow the AGC to be set up to tolerate very fast rise-time waveforms while responding [properly] to [normal signals]?"

It goes on to say the blanker can eliminate pops if they are a problem.

You don't even notice the pops on older radios since they don't false trigger the AGC.

I can't wait to listen to the K3 to see if they got it right.

- Older hybrid designs do not exhibit these bad AGC characteristics.
- Icom 756 Pro, Pro II and Pro III are fine.
- Fast rise transients are stretched by the IF filtering to 1 millisecond.
- An R-4C has an R & C in the AGC.
- A 1 msec transient cannot charge up the C.

• New AGC designs in DSP radios strongly react to the transients.

- A "tick" may kick the S meter to S9 on newly designed radios.
- The same "tick" does not even move an R-4C S meter.

Another new DSP problem

- March, 2006, sold two 706MkIIGs
- Bought new IC-7000.
- Human interface is great. Easy to program.
- Has same AGC problem + a different problem.



- Performs poorly in broadband noise, like QRN
- Mobile trip KS to CO
- 40 meter CW, occasional QRN = S9
- Wearing phones, QRN very wide band
- Crashes way outside the 500 Hz CW passband
- How to prove what I was hearing with my ears?

HP 3561A FFT Analyzer

3501A DYNAMIC SIGNAL ANALYZER HEWLETT • PACKARD	

• Swept vs FFT Analyzers

















С

How to Approximate Broadband Noise

• Used 3 tone test to approximate broadband QRN.









Where does that leave us?

- New IF DSP designs seriously exaggerate transient noise on weak signals.
- Some DSP radios make QRN much worse.
- Same problem on unblankable line noise.

Are R&D Departments comparing old designs to new designs?

- Some hams are noticing what I am describing, but many are not.
- Maybe some hams may have sold all their older radios and cannot compare.
- The bands are not always as noisy as the latest digital receivers make them appear.
- An individual radio can make noise worse.

Are you ready to sell your Drake?

Maybe NOT!

Sherwood Engineering

www.sherwood-engineering.com

www.NC0B.com